

ACL Re-injury: How do we prevent it ?

A Amendola MD Professor, Orthopedic Surgery Director, Sports Medicine Duke University

What Is the Mid-term Failure Rate of Revision ACL Reconstruction? A Systematic Review

CORR 2017

Alberto Grassi MD, Christopher Kim MD, Giulio Maria Marcheggiani Muccioli MD, Stefano Zaffagnini MD, Annunziato Amendola MD



ACLR : Reasons for failure

- 1. Technical : poor tunnel placement
- 2. Meniscal deficiency
- 3. Graft choice
- 4. Malalignment : Coronal / Sagittal
- 5. Associated laxity : MCL/PLC
- 6. Early Return to Sport

21 YO M ACLR age 13





Explosion of literature on ACL RTP



92% of children return to sport

Over 90 % of children and adolescents return to sport after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a systematic review and metaanalysis

Jeffrey Kay¹ · Muzammil Memon¹ · Robert G. Marx² · Devin Peterson¹ · Nicole Simunovic³ · Olufemi R. Ayeni^{1,4}

- Systematic review of 18 studies
- 1156 ACL reconstructions included
- 92% return to sport
- 81% return to competitive sport
- 79% return to same level of sport
- 13% graft rupture, 14% contralateral ACL tear



ACL re-injury is a major issue

Risk of Secondary Injury in Younger Athletes After Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction

A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Amelia J. Wiggins, DO,^{*} Ravi K. Grandhi, MBA,^{†‡} Daniel K. Schneider,^{‡§} Denver Stanfield, MD,^{II} Kate E. Webster, PhD,[¶] and Gregory D. Myer, PhD^{§#**}

- Meta-analysis of 14 studies
- 7% graft rupture, 8% contra-lateral rupture in overall population
- Combined 2nd injury rate 23% if < 25 and returning to sports
 - This is 40x higher than matched controls without prior ACL injury



Early return to sport carries significant

risk

Simple decision rules can reduce reinjury risk by 84% after ACL reconstruction: the Delaware-Oslo ACL cohort study

Hege Grindem,¹ Lynn Snyder-Mackler,² Håvard Moksnes,³ Lars Engebretsen,^{3,4} May Arna Risberg^{1,4}

- Prospective 2 year cohort of 106 patients
- Re-injury rate reduced by 51% for each month RTP was delayed up to 9 months
- Re-injury was 5.6% for those that passed a RTP test, 38.2% for those that failed
- Return to level I sports carries a **4 fold higher risk of re-injury**



Early return to sport carries significant risk in the pediatric athlete

Return to Sport After Pediatric Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction and Its Effect on Subsequent Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury

Travis J. Dekker, MD, Jonathan A. Godin, MD, MBA, Kevin M. Dale, MD, William E. Garrett, MD, PhD, Dean C. Taylor, MD, and Jonathan C. Riboh, MD

Investigation performed at the Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina

- Retrospective cohort of 85 patients
- 19% graft rupture
- 13% contra-lateral ACL rupture
- 13% decrease in risk of 2nd ACL tear for each month RTP was delayed



Biologic and neuromuscular normalization may take 2 years after ACLR

Should return to sport be delayed until two years after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction? Biological and functional considerations

Christopher V. Nagelli^{1,2,4,5} and Timothy E. Hewett^{1,2,3,4,5}



What's best practice then?

ACL Return to Sport Guidelines and Criteria

George J. Davies, 21,2,3 Eric McCarty, 4 Matthew Provencher, 5 and Robert C. Manske⁶

- 1. Testing psychometric readiness
- 2. Testing impairment, strength and power
- 3. Quantitative and qualitative assessment of motion and function
- 4. Simulation of real-sports activities
- 5. Fatigue testing in final phases
- 6. Assessment of kinesophobia
- 7. Patient-reported outcomes



Kinesophobia predicts re-injury and poor function

Self-Reported Fear Predicts Functional Performance and Second ACL Injury After ACL Reconstruction and Return to Sport: A Pilot Study

Mark V. Paterno, PT, PhD, MBA, SCS,*[†] Kaitlyn Flynn, DPT, SCS,[‡] Staci Thomas, MS,[§] and Laura C. Schmitt, PT, PhD^{II}

- Prospective cohort of 40 patients
- High TSK-11 scores correlate with
 - Lower levels of activity
 - Poor performance on functional tests
 - Higher risk of second ACL injury



Limb symmetry Indexes Aren't Enough

J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2017 May;47(5):334-338. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2017.7285. Epub 2017 Mar 29.

Limb Symmetry Indexes Can Overestimate Knee Function After Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury.

Wellsandt E, Failla MJ, Snyder-Mackler L.

- Prospective cohort of 70 athletes
- At 6 months, only 28% meet expected preinjury capacity (EPIC)
- Even of those who had > 90% LSI on all tests, only 60% met EPIC for all tests



Testing at 6 months may be predictive of function even 1-2 years out

Am J Sports Med. 2017 Apr;45(5):1037-1048. doi: 10.1177/0363546516680619. Epub 2016 Dec 21.

Do Patients Failing Return-to-Activity Criteria at 6 Months After Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction Continue Demonstrating Deficits at 2 Years?

Nawasreh Z^{1,2}, Logerstedt D^{3,4}, Cummer K^{1,5}, Axe MJ^{2,5,6}, Risberg MA^{7,8}, Snyder-Mackler L^{1,4,5}.

- Prospective cohort of patients tested at 6, 12, and 24 months after ACLR
- Patients who passed at 6 months had:
 - Higher RTP rates at 12 and 24 months
 - Higher performance on hop tests at 12 and 24 months
 - Less movement assymetry
- Begs the question → how do we get more people to be in the "pass" group by 6 months?



Indeed, functional deficits persist over time

No Association of Time From Surgery With Functional Deficits in Athletes After Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction

Evidence for Objective Return-to-Sport Criteria

<u>Gregory D. Myer</u>, PhD, FACSM, CSCS*D,^{†‡§II*} <u>Larry Martin, Jr</u>, PhD,^{¶#**} <u>Kevin R. Ford</u>, PhD,^{†‡††§§} <u>Mark V. Paterno</u>, PT, PhD, SCS, ATC,^{§§III} <u>Laura C. Schmitt</u>, PT, MPT, PhD,^{†‡¶¶} <u>Robert S. Heidt, Jr</u>, MD, FACS,[¶] <u>Angelo Colosimo</u>, MD,^{**} <u>Timothy E. Hewett</u>, PhD,^{†‡§##} and Investigation performed at Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center

- Retrospective review of 33 athletes at different time points after ACLR
- Vertical jump height and vertical ground reactive forces were independent of time from surgery



Putting it all together...

Evidence-based clinical practice update: practice guidelines for anterior cruciate ligament rehabilitation based on a systematic review and multidisciplinary consensus

Nicky van Melick,^{1,2} Robert E H van Cingel,^{3,4} Frans Brooijmans,⁵ Camille Neeter,⁶ Tony van Tienen,⁷ Wim Hullegie,⁸ Maria W G Nijhuis-van der Sanden¹

- There is little to no literature showing strong predictive value of any single RTP test for re-injury
- Consensus is that a battery of tests should be used
- Limb symmetry should be 90-100%
- Strength, function (hop test), motion quality and psychosocial assessments should be performed.
- Better prospective studies are needed!

Putting it all together – We should focus on **modifiable** risk factors

Non-modifiable risk factors



Modifiable risk factors

Strength Neuromuscular Control Sport of Choice Motor Planning Kinesophobia? Movement Quality



Multidimensional testing is necessary

Psychological <u>Readiness:</u> Tampa Kinesophobia Scale (TSK-11) ACL Return to Sport after Injury (ACL-RSI)

Patient Reported Outcomes: KOOS IKDC Lysholm

Functional Testing: Single Leg Hop Tests Double Leg Hop Tests Jump Tests Landing Tests Strength Testing: Closed Chain Strength Open Chain Strength Isotonic/Isokinetic) Psychological <u>Readiness:</u> Tampa Kinesophobia Scale (TSK-11) ACL Return to Sport after Injury (ACL-RSI)

> Motion Quality: Landing Error Scoring System (LESS) Movement Performance Assessment (Powers)



Research Questions Moving Forward

- 1. When is the optimal time to RTP?
- 2. Measuring Psychosocial factors?
- 3. Need more definitive decision-making toolsmore research